Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Power Sources



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

Li_{1.2}Zr_{1.9}Ca_{0.1}(PO₄)₃, a room-temperature Li-ion solid electrolyte

Hui Xie^a, John B. Goodenough^{a,*}, Yutao Li^b

^a Texas Materials Institute, ETC 9.184, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, United States ^b State Key Laboratory of New Ceramics and Fine Processing, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 22 February 2011 Received in revised form 4 May 2011 Accepted 6 May 2011 Available online 17 May 2011

Keywords: Li-ion solid electrolyte Lithium zirconium phosphate Li-ion battery

1. Introduction

Interest in all-solid-state Li-ion batteries and in Li-ion batteries using a solid separator of an organic-liquid electrolyte on the anode side and an aqueous or air cathode has stimulated the search for Li-ion solid electrolytes having a room-temperature Li-ion conductivity $\sigma_{\rm Li} > 10^{-4}$ S cm⁻¹ [1,2]. Although sulfides promise a higher $\sigma_{\rm Li}$ than oxides, they cannot be used if there is an aqueous solution on the cathode side of a solid separator. At present, the best commercially available oxide Li-ion solid electrolyte is slightly-modified Li_{1.3}Ti_{1.7}Al_{0.3}(PO₄)₃ [3–5] having the structure of rhombohedral NASICON [6,7]. However, the presence of the Ti(IV) ion makes it necessary for an aqueous cathode solution to be restricted to a limited pH range. Therefore, there is motivation to find an isostructural oxide of comparable $\sigma_{\rm Li}$ that does not contain a cation so easily reduced as Ti(IV).

The zirconium phosphate LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ can be expected to be electrochemically more stable than the LiTi₂(PO₄)₃ analog since Zr(IV) is a stable oxidation state in oxides containing isolated (ZrO₆) octahedra. However, LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ is triclinic at room temperature with a $\sigma_{Li} \approx 10^{-8}$ S cm⁻¹ [8,9], the rhombohedra NASICON structure of LiZr₂(PO₄)₃, which is the structure allowing fast alkali-ion transport, is only stable above 50 °C [10]. Since a higher σ_{Li} can be attained by aliovalent doping of LiTi₂(PO₄)₃ to introduce mobile Li⁺ ions in the M(II) site of the interstitial space [11], we have investigated doping LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ by substitution of Ca for Zr, thereby

introducing additional Li⁺ ions and favoring stabilization to room temperature of the rhombohedral phase.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Substitution of 5% of Zr by Ca in LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ transforms the structure to that of rhombohedral NASICON

to give a room-temperature bulk Li-ion conductivity $\sigma_{\rm Li} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \, {\rm S \, cm^{-1}}$, which is comparable to that

of Li_{1.3}Ti_{1.7}Al_{0.3}(PO₄)₃ now being used as a solid Li-ion separator in test cells of novel Li-ion batteries.

2. Experimental

The compound $Li_{1.2}Zr_{1.9}Ca_{0.1}(PO_4)_3$ was prepared by conventional solid state reaction as described in Ref. [8] with Li_2CO_3 , ZrO_2 , NH₄H₂PO₄, CaCO₃ as the starting materials. 10% more Li_2CO_3 was used to compensate for the loss of lithium during the high temperature heating processes. A pellet of high mechanical strength was obtained after the final heat treatment.

Powder X-ray diffractogram (Philips X-ray diffractometer, model: PW 1830) recorded in air at room temperature was applied to investigate the phase formation and to calculate the lattice parameters. The scanning range was from 10° to 60° , with a step of 0.02° and a dwell time of 12 s. Rietveld structure refinement was carried out with the FullProf software.

Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Quanta FEG 650) with an EDS attachment (Bruker) was used to obtain the surface microstructure of the pellet and the distribution of the zirconium and calcium elements.

To measure the ionic conductivity, both parallel surfaces of the pellet were sputtered with a layer of gold. The ionic conductivity was obtained from the impedance plot measured with a Solartron Impedance Analyzer (model 1287). The applied frequency range was from 10^6 to 1 Hz with a 10 mV AC amplitude. The Li-ion conduction activation energy (E_a) was calculated from the Arrhenius plot of the relationship of the total ionic conductivity to temperature.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 512 471 1646; fax: +1 512 471 7681. *E-mail address:* jgoodenough@mail.utexas.edu (J.B. Goodenough).

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.05.002



^a Obtained from neutron diffraction recorded at 150°C from ref. 10.

^b Extropolated to room temperature from the thermal expansion data obtained from ref. 10.

Fig. 1. Measured powder XRD pattern of Li_{1.2}Zr_{1.9}Ca_{0.1}(PO₄)₃ compared with standard LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ at 150 °C (JCPDS#: 01-072-7742) reported in Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards. Inset table lists the lattice parameters obtained from the two patterns with that extrapolated to room temperature from the thermal-expansion data.

3. Results and discussions

The XRD pattern of the compound after sintering is shown in Fig. 1. It is clear that the obtained compound can be indexed to the rhombohedral NASICON structure. No impurity peak was observed. In the inset, we compare the lattice parameters of the obtained compound with those of the pure LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ with the same structure (JCPSD#: 01-072-7742) obtained at 150 °C [10]. Structural data extrapolated to room temperature from the thermal-expansion data above 150 °C are also listed in the table. It is shown that both a and c dimensions increase on doping with Ca²⁺; the Ca²⁺ ion is significantly larger than Zr⁴⁺. The DTA curve (not shown in the paper) obtained in flowing air atmosphere from room temperature to 1000 °C shows no detectable endothermic or exothermic peak on both heating and cooling, which indicates that the NASICON phase is thermally stable to at least 1000 °C.



Fig. 3. (a) Impedance plot collected at room temperature (about $24 \,^{\circ}$ C) in air with the fitted curve and (b) the equivalent circuit applied to fit the impedance plot.

Fig. 2a displays the surface microstructure of the pellet after sintering. The grains are in good contact with each other although a certain amount of pores in the boundary area can be observed. Most of the particles are $5-10 \,\mu\text{m}$ in size. The distribution of Zr and Ca elements obtained by EDS is shown in Fig. 2b. The Zr and Ca elements are seen to be evenly distributed throughout the whole area.

The impedance plot and its fitted curve (solid line) at room temperature are presented in Fig. 3a with the equivalent circuit in Fig. 3b [12,13]. In the circuit, *R* is for resistance, *Q* for constant phase element. R_e is added to the circuit due to the electronic resistance of the measurement system; subscripts b and g refer to bulk and grain boundary, respectively, and el is the blocking electrode, which corresponds to the long tail at the low frequency end of the plot. This long tail indicates that the material is an ionic conductor [13]. The impedance plot consists of two semicircles at high temperature range, as is clearly shown in the inset of Fig. 3a. The first



Fig. 2. (a) The surface microstructure of the sintered pellet; (b) the mapping image of the elemental analysis obtained by EDS of zirconium (green) and calcium element (red). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure caption, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)



Fig. 4. Arrhenius plot of the total ionic conductivity.

semicircle at the high frequency end is ascribed to the bulk and that at the intermediate frequency range to the grain boundary.

The bulk ionic conductivity calculated from the fitted result is 1.2×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹. However, due to the porous structure of the sintered pellet as shown in Fig. 2a, the grain-boundary resistance is higher than the bulk. In fact, the grain boundary contributes about 59% of the total resistance of the sample. Consequently, the total ionic conductivity is about 0.49×10^{-4} S cm⁻¹, still several times higher than that of pure LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ with the NASICON structure at about 50 °C [10].

The activation energy E_a for the Li-ion conduction of the material can be obtained from the Arrhenius plot of $\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp(-E_a/kT)$, where σ_0 is the pre-exponential factor, R is the universal gas constant, k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the absolute temperature.

Since it is difficult to separate the bulk and grain-boundary contributions at temperatures above room temperature with the impedance spectra, the total ionic conductivity was determined. The Arrhenius plot shown in Fig. 4 gives an $E_a = 0.48$ eV.

Although the total ionic conductivity is lower than that required for practical application at room temperature, these properties may be further improved through optimizing the doping element and content [14,15] by: (a) increasing the density of the bulk material to minimize the grain-boundary resistance; (b) introducing more Li into the framework to increase the mobile-ion concentration and (c) tuning the lattice parameter to optimize the size of the interstitial channel for Li-ion conduction.

4. Conclusion

The introduction of Ca into LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ stabilizes the rhombohedral NASICON structure and increases the Li-ion concentration to give a dramatic increase in the bulk Li-ion conductivity to $\sigma_{\text{Li}} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{S cm}^{-1}$. A measured activation energy $E_a = 0.48 \, \text{eV}$ includes the grain-boundary contribution that can be decreased by densification of the solid. The octahedral-site Zr(IV) should not be reduced in any anticipated applications. Based on the fact that the ionic conductivity of the pure LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ with the NASICON structure is higher than that of the LiTi₂(PO₄)₃ with the same structure, we believe that LiZr₂(PO₄)₃ is a promising host material for fast Li-ion conduction.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Vehicle Technologies of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, under the Batteries for Advanced Transportation Technologies (BATT) Program subcontract #6805919. The authors also thank the National Science Foundation (Grant No. 0959037) for funding the Quanta 650 ESEM used in this work.

References

- V. Thangadurai, A.K. Shukla, J. Gopalakrishnan, J. Mater. Chem. 9 (1999) 739–741.
 A. Ramzy, V. Thangadurai, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2 (2010)
- [2] A. Kalinzy, V. Inangadurai, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2 (2010) 385–390.
- [3] H. Aono, E. Sugimoto, Y. Sadaoka, N. Imanaka, G.Y. Adachi, J. Electrochem. Soc. 136 (1989) 590–591.
- [4] H. Aono, É. Sugimoto, Y. Sadaoka, N. Imanaka, G. Adachi, J. Electrochem. Soc. 137 (1990) 1023–1027.
- [5] S. Hasegawa, N. Imanishi, T. Zhang, J. Power Sources 189 (2010) 371–377.
 [6] J.B. Goodenough, H.Y.-P. Hong, J.A. Kafalas, Mater. Res. Bull. 11 (1976)
- 203–220.
- [7] C.L. Tsai, H.Y.P. Hong, Mater. Res. Bull. 18 (1983) 1399-1407.
- [8] M. Catti, S. Stramare, R. Ibberson, Solid State Ionics 123 (1999) 173–180.
- [9] K. Arbi, M. Ayadi-Trabelsi, J. Sanz, J. Mater. Chem. 12 (2002) 2985–2990.
 [10] M. Catti, A. Comotti, S. Di Blas, Chem. Mater. 15 (2003) 1628–1632.
- [10] M. Catti, A. Comotti, S. Di Blas, Chem. Mater. 15 (2003) 1628–1632.
- [11] J.B. Goodenough, Energy, Mine and Resources, Ottawa, Canada, 1983.
- [12] E. Barasoukov, J.R. MacDonald, Impedance Spectroscopy, Wiley-interscience, 2005.
- [13] R. Murugan, V. Thangadurai, W. Weppner, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit. 46 (2007) 7778–7781.
- [14] M. Barre, M.P. Crosnier-Lopez, F. Le Berre, E. Suard, J.L. Fourquet, J. Solid State Chem. 180 (2007) 1011–1019.
- [15] I.A. Stenina, M.N. Kislitsyn, I.Y. Pinus, S.M. Haile, A.B. Yaroslavtsev, Diffusion in Solids—Past, Present and Future, vol. 249, 2006, pp. 255–262.